

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/682,255	08/09/2001	Luis Esteban Prada	9D-DW-19324	9D-DW-19324 1854	
23465	7590 12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. B.		LUGO, CARLOS			
	FRONG TEASDALE, LLP OPOLITAN SQUARE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 2600			3677		
ST LOUIS,	MO 63102-2740		DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

į.

V*				10
(Applie	cation No.	plicant(s)	1
	09/68	2,255	PRADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit	
ţo.		Lugo	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on	the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n mmunication. ((30) days, a reply within the a statutory period will apply a ply will, by statute, cause the is after the mailing date of th	e statutory minimum of thirt nd will expire SIX (6) MON e application to become AB	oply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) 1	filed on <u>12 Novembe</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 				erits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-10,13-17 and 19-</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-10,16,17,19,20 a</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15 and 21</u> is/are rejected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	c/are withdrawn from nd 22 is/are allowed cted.	consideration.		
Application Papers	motion unaror creation	on requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August</u>		ccepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any ob	· •		•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	ing the correction is re	quired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	I to by the Examiner	. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office act 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign I 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first see	ty documents have ty documents have ty documents have es of the priority doctional Bureau (PCT tion for a list of the confor domestic priorit ded in the first sente language provisional for domestic priorit	been received. been received in A uments have been Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not y under 35 U.S.C. ence of the specifical application has be y under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Sta received. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap ation or in an Application Da een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a s	oplication) ta Sheet.
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-15	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to applicant's RCE November 12, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 13-15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat No 4,502,715 to Lundblade.

Regarding claim 21, Lundblade discloses a method to assembly a door latch providing a handle (29), having a <u>substantially</u> planar first contact surface, and a handle retained (58). The handle is connected to the handle retainer.

A keeper (30), having a <u>substantially</u> planar second contact surface, is slidably coupled to the handle such that the handle rotates in a first direction and the keeper rotates in a second direction opposite to the first direction.

As to claim 13, Lundblade discloses that the handle includes at least one substantially circular projection (62) to frictionally retain the handle.

As to claim 14, Lundblade discloses that the handle (29) is frictionally connected to the handle retainer (58).

As to claim 15, Lundblade discloses that the handle retainer is a substantially circular projection.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1,3-5,7-10,16,17,19,20 and 22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's arguments that Lundblade fails to discloses a method for assembling a door latch assembly for a dishwasher that includes a handle with a substantially planar first contact surface and a keeper with a substantially planar second contact surface (Page 7 Line 7), Lundblade discloses these limitations.

First, the fact that the method for assembling a door latch assembly is for a dishwasher is considering as intending use of the door assembly. A recitation with respect to the manner in which an apparatus is intended to be employed does not impose any structural limitation upon the claimed apparatus, which differentiates it from a prior art reference disclosing the structural limitations of the claim. In re Pearson, 494 F.2d 1399, 181 USPQ 641 (CCPA 1974); In re Yanush, 477 F.2d 958, 177 USPQ 705 (CCPA 1973); In re Finsterwalder, 436 F.2d 1028, 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 312 F.2d 937, 136 USPQ 458 (CCPA 1963); Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BdPatApp & Inter 1987).

Second, the limitation "<u>substantially</u> planar first and second contact surfaces" does not require that the contact surface is planar. Substantially planar contact Application/Control Number: 09/682,255

Art Unit: 3677

surface is not the same as planar contact surface. Substantially is a broad term. In

re Nehrenberg (CCPA) 126 USPQ 383.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-

9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-

9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-

306-5771.

Carlos Lugo Examiner

Art Unit 3677

November 24, 2003.

1 J. SWANN

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600